

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,834	09/17/2003	Jae-Hwan Hyun	1349.1295	2695
21171 7590 02/21/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP		EXAMINER		
SUITE 700			MORRISON, THOMAS A	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3653	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/663,834	HYUN, JAE-HWAN			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Fin</u>	al Rejection			
(1) <u>Thomas A. Morrison</u> .	(3)	••			
(2)	(4)				
Date of Interview: 19 February 2007	Time:				
Type of Interview:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: None	•				
Claims discussed: None					
Prior art documents discussed: None		·			
Part II.		. 0			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
PATRICK MACKEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600		*			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)					

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner tried to contact applicant's representative (Mr. Michael Kondoudis (Reg. No. 42,758)) on 2/19/2007 to inform him that the examiner is now considering applicant's Amendment After Final Rejection of 02/01/2007. The examiner will enter such amendment if it overcomes all of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as set forth in the Final Rejection of 12/08/2006. If such Amendment does not overcome all of these rejections, the examiner will contact Mr. Kondoudis to try to work out language to place this case in condition for allowance.